

Unbounded Bivariant K-theory

Ikhan Choi

The University of Tokyo

Sapporo, September 2024

Contents

1. Introduction

2. Kasparov modules

3. Kasparov product

4. Category of spectral triples

Bivariant K-theory

Definition ([Hig87])

The *bivariant K-theory* is a functor $C^*Alg_{sep} \rightarrow KK$ which is universal among the homotopy invariant split-exact stable functors to additive categories.

Theorem ([Kas80])

A *bivariant K-theory* exists.

Definition

The additive category KK is called the *Kasparov category*. The *bivariant K-group* of A and B is the abelian group $KK(A, B)$ of morphisms in the Kasparov category.

There are three famous ways to describe the cycles of bivariant K-groups:

- ▶ the Kasparov picture,
- ▶ the Cuntz picture,
- ▶ the Baaj-Julg picture, also called the *unbounded picture*.

Unbounded bivariant K-theory

Pros:

- ▶ We can apply to index theory more directly.
- ▶ We can naturally generalize spectral triples.
- ▶ We can explicitly write down the Kasparov product.
- ▶ We do not need separability or σ -unitality in principle.

Cons:

- ▶ We do not have a unified definition yet.
- ▶ We cannot characterize the theory in terms of category theory yet.
- ▶ We need to use a lot of unbounded operators on Hilbert modules.
- ▶ We need more complicated and technical conditions depending on references.

Contents

1. Introduction

2. Kasparov modules

3. Kasparov product

4. Category of spectral triples

Kasparov modules

Definition (Right Hilbert bimodules)

A *right Hilbert bimodule* or a *correspondence* over A and B is a right Hilbert module E over B together with a left action of A that is adjointable over B . A right Hilbert bimodule is said to be *countably generated* if it is countably generated as a right Banach module. A *grading* on a right Hilbert bimodule is an action of $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ such that the left and right actions are even.

Definition (Kasparov modules)

A *Kasparov module* is a pair (E, F) , where

- ▶ E is a countably generated graded right Hilbert bimodule over A and B ,
- ▶ F is an odd linear operator on E ,

such that

1. F is adjointable over B , (adjointability condition)
2. $[F, a]$ is compact for every $a \in A$, (intertwining condition)
3. F is self-adjoint, (self-adjoint condition)
4. $(F^2 - 1)a$ is compact for every $a \in A$. (Fredholm condition)

Unbounded Kasparov modules

Definition (Regular operators)

A densely defined linear operator D on a right Hilbert module E over B is called *regular* if its graph is a complemented submodule of $E \oplus E$.

Definition (Unbounded Kasparov modules by Baaj-Julg)

An *unbounded Kasparov module* from A to B is a pair (E, D) , where

- ▶ E is a countably generated graded right Hilbert bimodule over A and B ,
- ▶ D is an odd densely-defined linear operator on E ,

such that for some dense $*$ -subalgebra $\mathcal{A} \subset A$ we have

1. D is regular over B , (regularity condition)
2. \mathcal{A} acts on $\text{dom } D$ and $[D, a]$ is adjointable for $a \in \mathcal{A}$, (Lipschitz condition)
3. D is self-adjoint, (self-adjoint condition)
4. $(D + i)^{-1}a$ is compact for $a \in \mathcal{A}$. (compact resolvent condition)

If $B = \mathbb{C}$, then an unbounded Kasparov modules is nothing but a spectral triple on A with separable Hilbert space.

Bivariant K-groups

Definition

The bivariant K-group $KK(A, B)$ is the abelian group of all homotopy classes of Kasparov modules, where the group structure is given by direct sum.

Defining the homotopy equivalence or the direct sum of unbounded Kasparov modules has a domain issue. It is recently known that there are some solutions modifying the definition of unbounded Kasparov modules for example as in [vdDM20] or [Kaa20], thereby the existing results dealing with original unbounded Kasparov modules of Baaj-Julg have some difficulties to be applied. Instead, we can connect unbounded Kasparov modules to bivariant K-theory via an operation called the bounded transform.

Theorem ([BJ83])

The bounded transform $(E, D) \mapsto (E, D(1 + D^2)^{-\frac{1}{2}})$ defines

$$\Psi(A, B) \rightarrow E(A, B) \rightarrow KK(A, B),$$

and the composition is surjective, where $E(A, B)$ and $\Psi(A, B)$ are the set of all bounded and unbounded Kasparov modules, respectively.

Contents

1. Introduction

2. Kasparov modules

3. Kasparov product

4. Category of spectral triples

Kasparov product

Definition ([CS84])

A *Kasparov product* of Kasparov modules (E_1, F_1) over A and B , and (E_2, F_2) over B and C , is a Kasparov module (E_{12}, F_{12}) over A and C such that

1. $F_{12}T_{\xi_1} - T_{\bar{\xi}_1}F_2$ is a compact operator $E_2 \rightarrow E_{12}$, (connection condition)
2. $\alpha^*[F_1 \otimes 1, F_{12}]a$ is positive in the Calkin algebra $Q(E_{12})$, (positivity condition)

where $E_{12} := E_1 \otimes_B E_2$ and $T_{\xi_1}\xi_2 := \xi_1 \otimes \xi_2$.

Proposition

There exists a unique Kasparov product of Kasparov modules up to homotopy, hence we have a well-defined bilinear map of abelian groups

$$KK(A, B) \times KK(B, C) \rightarrow KK(A, C).$$

Furthermore, the Kasparov product satisfies the conditions for the composition in categories, i.e. the associativity and the existence of the identity morphisms.

Unbounded Kasparov product

Kucerovsky proved the following Connes-Skandalis type theorem for unbounded Kasparov modules.

Theorem ([Kuc97])

Let (E_1, D_1) , (E_2, D_2) are unbounded Kasparov modules over A and B , B and C , respectively. If (E_{12}, D_{12}) is an unbounded Kasparov module over A and C , and if it satisfies

1. $D_{12}T_{\xi_1} - T_{\bar{\xi}_1}D_2$ extends to a bounded operator $E_2 \rightarrow E_{12}$, (connection condition)
2. $\text{dom } D_{12} \subset \text{dom}(D_1 \otimes 1)$, (domain condition)
3. there is $\kappa > 0$ such that for every $\xi_{12} \in \text{dom } D_{12}$ (positivity condition)

$$\langle (D_1 \otimes 1)\xi_{12}, D_{12}\xi_{12} \rangle + \langle D_{12}\xi_{12}, (D_1 \otimes 1)\xi_{12} \rangle \geq -\kappa \langle \xi_{12}, \xi_{12} \rangle,$$

then it represents a Kasparov product of (E_1, D_1) and (E_2, D_2) , via the bounded transform, where $E_{12} := E_1 \otimes_B E_2$ and $T_{\xi_1}\xi_2 := \xi_1 \otimes \xi_2$.

Motivating example

Let X be a compact Riemannian manifold, and let $A = \mathbb{C}$, $B = C(X)$, and $C = \mathbb{C}$. Consider

- ▶ a K-theory class $[(E_1, D_1)] \in KK(\mathbb{C}, C(X))$, where

$$E_1 := \Gamma(V), \quad D_1 = 0, \quad V \rightarrow X : \text{a Hermitian bundle},$$

- ▶ a K-homology class $[(E_2, D_2)] \in KK(C(X), \mathbb{C})$, where

$$E_2 := L^2(\Omega(X)), \quad D_2 := d + d^* : \text{the Hodge-Dirac}.$$

For $b \in C^\infty(X)$, the commutator $[D_2, b]$ is same as the wedge product with the one-form db . As a trial for our Kasparov product, consider

$$E_{12} := E_1 \otimes_{C(X)} E_2 = L^2(V \otimes \Omega^1(X)), \quad D_{12} \stackrel{?}{=} D_1 \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes D_2.$$

It is not well-defined since

$$(1 \otimes D_2)(\xi_1 b \otimes \xi_2) = \bar{\xi}_1 \otimes b D_2 \xi_2 \neq \bar{\xi}_1 \otimes D_2 b \xi_2 = (1 \otimes D_2)(\xi_1 \otimes b \xi_2).$$

Motivating example

If we introduce a connection $\nabla : \text{dom } \nabla \subset E_1 \rightarrow E_1 \otimes_{C(X)} \Omega^1(X)$ satisfying the Leibniz rule

$$\nabla(\xi_1 b) = \nabla(\xi_1)b + \bar{\xi}_1 \otimes [D_2, b],$$

then by adding a correction term to define a *twisted Dirac operator* $1 \otimes_{\nabla} D_2$ such that

$$(1 \otimes_{\nabla} D_2)(\xi_1 \otimes \xi_2) := \bar{\xi}_1 \otimes D_2 \xi_2 + \nabla(\xi_1) \xi_2,$$

we can give a well-defined operator on E_{12} as

$$\begin{aligned} (1 \otimes_{\nabla} D_2)(\xi_1 b \otimes \xi_2) &= \bar{\xi}_1 \otimes b D_2 \xi_2 + \nabla(\xi_1 b) \xi_2 \\ &= \bar{\xi}_1 \otimes b D_2 \xi_2 + \nabla(\xi_1) b \xi_2 + \bar{\xi}_1 \otimes [D_2, b] \xi_2 \\ &= \bar{\xi}_1 \otimes D_2 b \xi_2 + \nabla(\xi_1) b \xi_2 = (1 \otimes_{\nabla} D_2)(\xi_1 \otimes b \xi_2). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we suggest

$$D_{12} := D_1 \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes_{\nabla} D_2.$$

- ▶ The term $D_{12} T_{\xi_1} - T_{\bar{\xi}_1} D_2$ is indeed the correction term $\nabla(\xi_1) \xi_2$.
- ▶ If ∇ is Hermitian, then (E_{12}, D_{12}) is an unbounded Kasparov module satisfying the Kucerovsky condition.

Constructive Kasparov product

We want to consider connections with respect to arbitrary Dirac operators D_2 .

Definition

Let (E_1, D_1) and (E_2, D_2) be unbounded Kasparov modules over A and B , B and C , respectively. We want to define the *constructive Kasparov product* of (E_1, D_1) and (E_2, D_2) , which depends on the choice of ∇ on E_1 for $[D_2, -]$, as

$$(E_1 \otimes_B E_2, D_1 \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes_{\nabla} D_2).$$

In general, the Dirac operator in constructive Kasparov products may not even be densely defined. To see it is indeed a representative of the Kasparov product, we have to check all the defining conditions of unbounded Kasparov modules and Kucerovsky's conditions. There are many case studies on the satisfaction of these conditions, such as the spectral flow of Dirac-Schrödinger operators. See [KL13], [Kub16] for example.

Contents

1. Introduction

2. Kasparov modules

3. Kasparov product

4. Category of spectral triples

Smooth algebras

The constructive Kasparov product explicitly retains its spectral geometric data D_{12} before the passage to the bivariant K-group. It suggests that there would be a differentially refined version of the classical Kasparov product for a category of spectral triples. In other words, it would be natural to fix spectral triples on A and B to find another approach which has a non-commutative version of C^k or $W^{k,\infty}$ spaces as domains of D or ∇ , requiring that Kucerovsky's conditions automatically follow.

Definition ([Mes14])

Given an unbounded Kasparov module (E, D) over A and B , we define a dense $*$ -subalgebra

$$\mathcal{A}^1 := \{a \in A : [D, a] \in \mathcal{L}(E)\},$$

which has a natural operator space structure induced from the embedding

$$\mathcal{A}^1 \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(M_2(E)) : a \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ [D, a] & a \end{pmatrix}.$$

It is a non-commutative analogue of the Sobolev space $W^{1,\infty}$.

Smooth algebras

Definition (continued)

We can similarly define $\mathcal{A}^k \subset \mathcal{L}(M_{2k}(E))$. We say (E, D) is *smooth* if for each k the algebra \mathcal{A}^k is dense in A and A admits a sequential approximate unit uniformly bounded in \mathcal{A}^k .

Theorem ([Mes14])

Let A and B be endowed with smooth spectral triples. Let $\Psi_0^\infty(A, B)$ be the set of unitary classes of smooth unbounded Kasparov modules (E, D, ∇) together with a smooth transverse (we did not define) connection. Then, the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Psi_0^\infty(A, B) \times \Psi_0^\infty(B, C) & \longrightarrow & \Psi_0^\infty(A, C) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ KK(A, B) \times KK(B, C) & \longrightarrow & KK(A, C) \end{array}$$

commutes, where horizontal arrows are the (constructive) Kasparov product and vertical arrows are the bounded transforms.

Correspondences between spectral triples

Definition

Let A and B be endowed with smooth spectral triples (H_{12}, D_{12}) and (H_2, D_2) . Consider the composition law on Ψ_0^∞

$$(E_2, D_2, \nabla^2) \circ (E_1, D_1, \nabla^1) := (E_1 \otimes_B E_2, D_1 \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes_{\nabla^1} D_2, 1 \otimes_{\nabla^1} \nabla^2).$$

A *smooth correspondence* between A and B is a smooth unbounded Kasparov module (E_1, D_1, ∇^1) over A and B together with a smooth transverse connection such that

$$(H_2, D_2, \text{id}) \circ (E_1, D_1, \nabla^1) \sim_u (H_{12}, D_{12}, \text{id}).$$

Smooth correspondences define a symmetric monoidal 2-category, together with a bounded transform functor to the Kasparov category. One of the ultimate goals is to construct a natural category of spectral triples without assuming some analytic conditions on smoothness.

References I

- [BJ83] Saad Baaj and Pierre Julg. Théorie bivariante de Kasparov et opérateurs non bornés dans les C^* -modules hilbertiens. *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math.*, 296(21):875–878, 1983.
- [CS84] A. Connes and G. Skandalis. The longitudinal index theorem for foliations. *Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci.*, 20(6):1139–1183, 1984.
- [Hig87] Nigel Higson. A characterization of KK -theory. *Pacific J. Math.*, 126(2):253–276, 1987.
- [Kaa20] Jens Kaad. On the unbounded picture of KK -theory. *SIGMA Symmetry Integrability Geom. Methods Appl.*, 16:Paper No. 082, 21, 2020.
- [Kas80] G. G. Kasparov. The operator K -functor and extensions of C^* -algebras. *Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat.*, 44(3):571–636, 719, 1980.
- [KL13] Jens Kaad and Matthias Lesch. Spectral flow and the unbounded Kasparov product. *Adv. Math.*, 248:495–530, 2013.
- [Kub16] Yosuke Kubota. The joint spectral flow and localization of the indices of elliptic operators. *Ann. K-Theory*, 1(1):43–83, 2016.

References II

- [Kuc97] Dan Kucerovsky. The KK -product of unbounded modules. *K-Theory*, 11(1):17–34, 1997.
- [Mes14] Bram Mesland. Unbounded bivariant K -theory and correspondences in noncommutative geometry. *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 691:101–172, 2014.
- [vdDM20] Koen van den Dungen and Bram Mesland. Homotopy equivalence in unbounded KK -theory. *Ann. K-Theory*, 5(3):501–537, 2020.